# Journal of Food and Dairy Sciences

Journal homepage & Available online at: <u>www.jfds.journals.ekb.eg</u>

# Effect of Addition Loquat (*Eribotrya japonica*) Seed Powder Extract as a Natural Bioactive Compound on The Quality Characteristics of Goat Meat Nuggets During Refrigerated Storage – Part I

## Allam, A. Y.\*

Cross Mark

Department of Food Science and Technology, Faculty of Agriculture, Menoufia University, 32511 Shibin El Kom, Minufiya Government, Egypt

# ABSTRACT



The current study aims to evaluate adding powdered loquat seed to goat meat nuggets affects quality characteristics. According to the results, loquat seed extract had a highly significant amount of protein and total dietary fibre, with values of 31.35 and 27.24, respectively. Moreover, a high amount of phosphorus, potassium, magnesium, calcium, and sodium were 442.48, 401.47, 220.14, 207.69, and 124.22 mg/100g, respectively, and a considerable amount of iron, zinc, and copper. In addition, 1.77 and 0.94 mg/100 g of vitamins C and E, respectively. The TPC, TFC, and TTC of loquat seed extract were 9.15 mg GAE g-1, 31.58 mg QE g-1, and 15.11 mg TAEs g/100 g DW, respectively. This study evaluated the use of loquat seed as a natural antioxidant in goat meat nuggets by replacing loquat seed powder with wheat flour at 3 different levels (3.3, 6.6, and 10%). Adding loquat seed powder resulted in less reddish and darker, more yellowish goat nuggets. The T3 obtained the highest cooking yield (91.27%). Loquat seed powder prevented lipid oxidation in goat meat nuggets to the same extent as the synthetic antioxidant, demonstrating potential as a natural antioxidant. These results suggest that extract from loquat seed can significantly retard the oxidation process of food products, which will enhance their quality and prolong their shelf life.

Keywords: Loquat seed extract, antioxidant, goat meat nuggets, quality characteristics, shelf life.

# INTRODUCTION

The waste product of Eribotrya japonica (loquat fruit) is loquat seed (Zhang, et al. 2021). The Rosaceae family includes the loquat (E. japonica) or Japanese plum (Erdal and Taskin 2010, Taskin and Erdal 2011). It originated in southeastern China and eventually spread to many other countries, including Japan and India (Allam 2022, Kazmi, et al. 2023). Loquat seed have the largest concentration of polyphenols and exhibit the strongest anti-lipid oxidation activity (Perea-Moreno, et al. 2020, Shabaani, et al. 2020, Wang, et al. 2023). Loquat seed contain such active constituents as tannins, flavonoids, and amygdalin (Zhou, et al. 2011, Costa, et al. 2022, De Girolamo, et al. 2022, Dhiman, et al. 2022, Sheikha, et al. 2022). Meat has been an essential component of human nutrition and has played an important role in human evolution since the beginning of time. Meat is an integral component of a healthy and well-balanced diet because of its nutritional superiority over plant-based alternatives (Floros, et al. 2010, Allam, et al. 2021, Mariamenatu and Abdu 2021, Vlaicu, et al. 2022). It also contains significant amounts of vitamins, including niacin, thiamine, riboflavin, and other B-complex group vitamins, as well as essential fatty acids such as linoleic, linolenic, and oleic acids. It also contains a significant nutritional protein rich in minerals (except calcium), particularly the easily absorbable iron and essential amino acids (Lovell 1989, Williams 2007, Marangoni, et al. 2015, Ahmad, et al. 2018). Regular meat consumption improves health, which could be used as a powerful weapon in the battle against malnutrition and a lack of micronutrients (Stoltzfus

and Dreyfuss 1998, Tontisirin, et al. 2002, Bouis and Welch 2010, Miller and Welch 2013, Allam and Dolganova 2017, Cashman 2020, Kandil, et al. 2020). Additionally, harmful microbes tend to contaminate the meat. Meat undergoes physical, chemical, and sensory alterations due to the growth and multiplication of these bacteria, which also increases the risk of serious infections from foodborne pathogens (Singh, et al. 2019, Singh and Mondal 2019, Allam, et al. 2021, Marmion, et al. 2021). But even with refrigeration, meat is a specific perishable item that needs to be handled properly to increase its shelf life. Meat deterioration is influenced by various elements, such as composition, ingredients, light, air, and temperature (Gill 1996, Dave and Ghaly 2011, Rawat 2015, Karrar, et al. 2022, Ren, et al. 2022, Tomaszewska, et al. 2022, Tyuftin and Kerry 2023). The main cause of the oxidative degradation of meat is protein and lipid oxidation. Membrane triglycerides include unsaturated fatty acids, while phospholipids and meat proteins are highly oxidizable. The main cause of meat's undesired changes in texture, flavor, color, and appearance, as well as its decreased nutritional value, is largely the oxidation of meat (Amaral, et al. 2018, Domínguez, et al. 2019, Pateiro, et al. 2019, Sultana, et al. 2022, Shivakumar, et al. 2023). Due to several bioactive components, loquat seed have a promising role in foods and pharmacological industries (Fig. 1). Thus, this study's objective was to estimate the nutritional values and quality characterizations of loquat seed extract and evaluate the antioxidant activities via determining the lipid oxidation in goat meat nuggets stored at 4 °C for 15<sup>th</sup> days.



# Fig. 1. Graphic abstract MATERIALS AND METHODS

1. Materials Loquat (*E. japonica*) fruits were purchased from the El Basatine Research Center in El-Kanatir Al-khairiyah, Qalyubiyah Gove., Egypt, at the stage of commercial maturity. Sunflower oil without antioxidant compounds was obtained from Oil and Soap Co., Cairo-Egypt. Rreagent of Folin-Ciocalteu, gallic acid and DPPH (2,2-diphenyl-1picrylhydrazyl) were purchased from Sigma Chemical Co., Ltd. (St. Louis, USA). Fresh goat meat and fat were both obtained from a local market under the required criteria and then were transported to the Meat Products Laboratory at the Faculty of Agriculture, Menoufia University, Shibin El Kom, Egypt.

#### Preparation of Loquat seed powder

To prepare loquat (Eriobotrya japonica) seed powder, the seed were selected, sanitized with a 2.5% sodium hypochlorite solution, and then rinsed in water. Afterward, the seed were ground with the peel in a food processor and dried in an air-circulating oven (Shel-lab, USA) at 40 °C for 7 hours until constant weight. They were ground with an analytical mill (Model 3510, Jenway Technology, Italy), sieved up to 40 mesh, stored in plastic packaging in a dark place at room temperature, and kept in a dry and ventilated place until analysis. For 4 hours at room temperature (25 2 °C), 250 gm of sieve-size powder was homogenized with 1000 ml of distilled water (w/v) in a 2-liter Erlenmeyer flask using a magnetic stirrer. After filtering the crude aqueous extract through the Whatman paper (No. 1), we collected and lyophilized the filtrate. The lyophilized extract was kept at - $18 \pm 2$  °C until utilization.

# Determination of the chemical composition of loquat (*E. japonica*) seed

Moisture, protein, fat, and total ash contents of loquat seed powder were determined according to the described methods in the AOAC, 2005 (Horwitz 2000). Carbohydrates were calculated by difference. The determination of minerals content such as potassium, sodium, calcium, magnesium, phosphorus, zinc, iron, and copper in Loquat seed, according to AOAC, 2005.

#### **Quantification of Vitamin**

Using HPLC Agilent system (Chrastany u Prahy, 2000 ECOM, CZ 252 19, USA) at 254 nm with detection of UV, vitamin C and E concentrations in loquat seed extracts were measured. Analytical column C18 (YMC-Triart  $4.6 \times 150$  mm) with a mobile phase of 33/67 (A/B), A: potassium acetate (0.1M), distilled water (50:50), pH (4.9), and 1 mL/min flow rate at room temperature was used for the

measurement of vitamin C. A Luna phenomenex chromatography column (Si100- 4 x 250 mm, 5 L) and a Phenomenex (Si100- 3 x 4 mm) protection column were used to identify vitamin E, and the mobile phase consisted of hexane, isopropanol, and glacial acetic acid (98.9:0.6:0.5, v/v/v). Flow rate 1.0 mL/min and 22 min run time (Diack and Saska 1994).

#### **Determination of pH value**

Using a pH meter (Jenway Digital - Model 3500), the pH value was calculated using a 10% dispersion of samples in distilled water following the technique reported by (Fernández-López, et al. 2008).

# Determination of radical scavenging activity (ABTS<sup>+</sup>, DPPH, and FRAP)

By decreasing iron (Fe2+) and iron (Fe3+) in loquat seed extracts, the FRAP reagent maintained antioxidant activities (Deng, et al. 2012, Shabaani, et al. 2020). The ABTS<sup>+</sup> assay was determine according to the stabilization of the ABTS+ radical cation (Jia, et al. 2012). The DPPH analysis depends on antioxidants' ability to reduce the effects of a stable free radical (DPPH-) and, consequently, decrease color intensity. Because it has an odd electron, this radical gives off a deep purple hue that fades out in the absence of an antioxidant (Choi, et al. 2002). Evaluated the capacity of the samples to effectively scavenge 1,1-diphenyl-2picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) radicals following (Nanjo, et al. 1996). The DPPH test assessed the antioxidant ability of the 0.1 Mm DPPH radical in ethanol solution. The absorbance at 519 nm was determined after 20-minute incubation at room temperature. According to the following equation, the inhibitory DPPH (%) was calculated:

#### **DPPH** inhibitory (%) = (Abs. C - Abs. S) / (Abs. s) × 100 Determination of total phenolic contents (TPC)

Using a stirrer benchtop lab (Heidolph, Germany), 100 ml of ethanol solution (0%–75%) and 5 gm of powdered dry loquat seed were blended to extract the polyphenolic compounds. The stirring was performed at maximum speed for 30-60 minutes. At room temperature, three times of each extraction experiment were performed. For further investigation, the extract was filtered and stored at 4 °C. According to the approach of Ozsoy et al., the total phenolic contents (TPC) of loquat extracts were assessed (Maher, et al. 2015) with some modifications. Each dried extract (100 g/mL) dissolved in 10 mL of its own solvent (1 mg/mL) at a rate of 10 mg/mL. A 5 mL volumetric flask containing 0.2 mL of extract was filled with 3 mL of deionized water and stirred. Folin-reagent Ciocalteu's was added and stirred after 0.25 mL was added. After 3 minutes, 0.75 mL of a 20% (w/v) na2co3 solution were added and stirred. To make the volume exactly 5 mL, deionized water was added. After fully mixing the solution, it was let to remain at room temperature for 2 hours until the solution's distinctive blue hue appeared. A spectrophotometer was used to test the reaction mixture's absorbance at 760 nm (SCHOTT Instruments, UviLine 9400, EU). The TPC was calculated in mg GAE /g DW (mg of gallic acid) equivalents (GAEs).

#### Determination of total flavonoid contents (TFC)

According to the method (Sakanaka, et al. 2005) described Briefly, 5 mL of distilled water, 0.3 mL NaNO2, and 0.5 mL of extract (5 mg/mL) were added to a 10 mL volumetric flask (1:20). Alum chloride (1:10) in a volume of 3 mL was added after five minutes. Following the addition of

2 mL of sodium hydroxide (4%) after 6 minutes, distilled water was used to bring the total volume to 10 mL. Using a spectrophotometer, the solutions' absorbance was measured against a blank at 510 nm after all components had been well mixed (SCHOTT Instruments, UviLine 9400, EU). In terms of mg quercetin equivalents (QEs) per g of extract, the TFC (total flavonoid content) was assessed.

#### Determination of tannic acid contents (TTC)

Potassium iodide (2.5%; 5 mL) and loquat extracts (1 mL) were mixed, and then the container was closed and placed in a water bath at 30 °C (10 min). At 590 nm absorbance, tannic acid equivalents (TAEs) were calculated as mg TAEs g/100 g DW (Maher, et al. 2015).

#### **HPLC** analysis

Using HPLC technology Agilent 1260 series, the optimal loquat extract was assessed (Agilent Technologies Inc. CA, USA). A C18 column (4.6 mm × 100 mm i.e., 5  $\mu$ m) was used for the separation. At a flow rate of 0.6 ml/min, the mobile phase was constituted of (A) water containing 0.2% H3PO4, (B) methanol, and (C) acetonitrile. Gradient elution followed this formula: 96% A, 2% B (0–11 min), 50% A, 25% B (11–13 min), 40% A, 30% B (13–17 min), 50% B, 50% C (17–20.5 min), and 96% A, 2% B (20.5–30 min). The detecting wavelength was calibrated to 284 nm. The column temperature was maintained at 30 °C, and the injection volume was 20  $\mu$ l. By correlating their retention times with those from authentic standards, compounds were identified. To calculate the ingredient amounts, calibration curves were utilized.

#### 2. Application of loquat seed powder extract Preparation of goat meat nuggets

The formulas Nuggets of goat meat are shown in table (1). Mixed the minced goat meat with skim milk powder, wheat flour, garlic, salt, dried onion, and pepper powder (Bintoro, 2008). The mixture was served as (a control sample). Then, replay the same method with loquat seed powder for wheat flour at various levels 0.00% (Control), 3.3% (T1), 6.6% (T2), and 10% (T3), and then mix to create a homogeneous meat mixture (Sakanaka, et al. 2005, El-Gammal, et al. 2018). A formulation with 0.25% synthetic antioxidant sodium erythorbate (SET) was also prepared (Sakanaka, Tachibana et al. 2005). The resulting mixture was frozen for five minutes. The shape size of goat nuggets was round and molded into dimensions of 5 cm in diameter and approximately 1 cm in height, and they were coated with medium grain industrial breadcrumbs. Goat nuggets were shaped and cooled for 15 min at 5 °C. Samples were kept until analysis in plastic bags. The goat nuggets are then deep-fried to preserve their shape after being pre-fried in sunflower oil for 1 minute at 180°C (standard procedure). The replicates of each treatment were placed in zip-top bags and frozen at -18 °C after cooling to room temperature. Then, all treatments were analyzed in triplicate on days 0, 4, 8, and 12<sup>th</sup> days of the storage at 4 °C. The quality indices, lipid oxidation, and texture profile analysis of nuggets formula were examined.

## Evaluation of lipid oxidation

Using the distillation method for thiobarbituric acidreactive compounds (TBARS), the products of secondary lipid oxidation were measured. The TBARS values were determined in triplicate samples by the extraction method of Kandil, et al. (2020). The results were calculated as mg MDA/kg meat from the standard curve of the TEP (1,1,3,3 -tetraethyloxypropane) parameters.

 Table 1. Formula for goat meat nugget ingredients (g/100g).

Incredients (g)	Treatments (T)						
ingreatents (g)	Control	SET	T1	T2	T3		
Goat meat	80	80	80	80	80		
Wheat flour	8	8	5.30	2.60	0		
Loquat powder	0	0	2.70	5.40	8		
Sodium erythorbate	0	0.25	0	0	0		
Skim milk powder	8	8	8	8	8		
Dried garlic	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4		
Sodium chloride	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0		
Pepper powder	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8		
Dried onion	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8		

#### 3. Statistical analysis

The statistical programme SAS version 9.4 and Microsoft Excel program (2019) were used to examine the data analysis. Three triplicates of the experiment were performed. Results were presented as mean standard deviation. The impacts of the parameters under study were shown to be significant by using ANOVA two-way and Tukey's test. The degree of relationship between variables was estimated as the Pearson correlation coefficient (Addinsoft, XLSTAT 2020.5.03, program, USA). A two-way analysis of variance was used to evaluate the data for multiple variable comparisons (ANOVA). Duncan's test was run on the findings of physicochemical and microbiological studies to identify the statistically significant variations between storage regimens. The 95% confidence level ( $P \le 0.05$ ) was used to determine the statistical significance.

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### 1. Fundamental extraction tests

Proximate composition, mineral, vitamin contents, total phenolic and flavonoids compounds, and antioxidant activity of loquat (*E. japonica*) seed powder (*Table 2*) included ( $68.12 \pm 0.15$ ) carbohydrates, which is the primary component of its structure and matrix.

Other publications have reported similar results, with carbohydrates ratios ranging from 60 to 79.39% (Costa, et al. 2023). The protein, lipids, and ash content values were  $6.20 \pm$ 0.03,  $1.22 \pm 0.78$ , and  $5.48 \pm 0.19$ , respectively. Given that the resultant powder has a moisture content of  $8.98 \pm 0.21$ , it can be classified as flour and conforms with the applicable legal requirements, providing a maximum value of 15% (Delfanian, et al. 2015, Georgiadou, et al. 2018, Cares-Pacheco and Falk 2023, Costa, et al. 2023, Simona, et al. 2023). Numerous studies on natural products have shown that phenolic compounds are particularly responsible for their antioxidant activities. Various investigations on antioxidants are presently being performed because, in addition to their importance in protecting edible foods, they may prove helpful in treating food spoilage where oxidative stress is implicated. The total phenolic compounds content in loquat extract (9.15  $\pm$  1.12 mg GAE g<sup>-1</sup>) and total tannin (15.11  $\pm$  0.25 mg TAEs g/100 g DW) (Table 1) were similar to the values found by (Okatan, et al. 2022, Mwamatope, et al. 2023), of 7.89 mg GAE g<sup>-1</sup> and (Sagar, et al. 2018), with 6.75 mg GAE g<sup>-1</sup>. Loquat seed extract showed a total flavonoid compound of  $31.58 \pm 0.83$  mg QE g<sup>-1</sup> (Table 1). These studies also used ethanol as the extracting solvent. The solvent employed is important because the phenolic compounds in loquat extract are polar and poorly soluble in water (Herrero, et al. 2010, Rykowska, et al. 2018). This value was higher than that obtained by (Koba, et al. 2007, Silva, et al. 2020) of 4.30 mg QE g<sup>-1</sup>, who evaluated loquat seed extract using a 70% ethanol and 0.1% formic acid solution. Our extract contains a high concentration of monoterpenes, including 1,8-cinéole, a -pinene, Coumaric acid, limonene, and linalool, which impacts its antioxidant activity. Previous studies have tested each of these compounds individually and found low antioxidant activity (Martínez-Valverde, et al. 2002, Djeridane, et al. 2006, Al Jumayi, et al. 2022). In contrast, this extract contains a high concentration of phenolic compounds, including carvacrol and thymol, which have significant (p < p0.05) antioxidant potential. Loquat seed extract had high ABTS, DPPH, and FRAP activities by  $4.125 \pm 0.11$ ,  $46.81 \pm$ 3.57, and 4.125  $\pm$  0.11 mmol TEAC/g DW, respectively. According to (Raghavi, et al. 2018, Al Jumayi, et al. 2022), reconstituted freeze-dried loquat extract had greater values (85.0 mg QE g-1); however, (Teixeira, et al. 2022) observed comparable values (10.09 mg QE g<sup>-1</sup>) for loquat extract powder. This result was expected because the dried extract was used rather than the crude filtrate. The antioxidant capacity was 46.81 ± 3.57, inhibited by the DPPH methodology (Table 2). This value is two times higher than those found in the traditionally dried loquat extract powder reported by (Mlyuka, et al. 2016, Cardoso, et al. 2022). It's possible to preserve the antioxidant components involved in oven-drying loquat extract at a low temperature (50 °C). The loquat flour had a dark ( $L^* = 60.54 \pm 1.86$ ) and yellowish ( $b^*$ =  $52.32 \pm 2.13$ ) color, which is likely because the peel was used in its manufacture (Table 1). Phosphorus, potassium, magnesium, calcium, and sodium 442.48  $\pm$  4.21, 401.47  $\pm$ 3.14, 220.14  $\pm$  1.48, 207.69  $\pm$  1.48, and 124.22  $\pm$  1.22 mg/100g, respectively, represent the abundant mineral content of loquat seed. Meanwhile, iron, zinc, and copper were the lowest (8.16  $\pm$  0.17, 4.52  $\pm$  0.47, and 3.13  $\pm$  0.14 mg/100g, respectively). Loquat seed contained  $1.77 \pm 0.51$ and  $0.94 \pm 0.11$  mg/100 g of vitamins C and E, respectively.

Table 2. Proximate composition, total phenolic and flavonoid compound content, DPPH and FRAP assays, and flour color (*L*\*, *a*\*, and *b*\*) of loquat (*E. japonica*) seed powder.

$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c } & Moisture (g 100 g-1) & 8.98 \pm 0.21 \\ Proteins (g 100 g-1) & 31.35 \pm 0.03 \\ Total lipid (g 100 g-1) & 17.64 \pm 0.78 \\ A sh (g 100 g-1) & 5.48 \pm 0.19 \\ \hline \end{tabular}$	Parameter		Loquat (E. japonica) seed powder
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Moisture (g 100 g-1)	8.98±0.21
$\begin{array}{c cccc} Total lipid (g 100 g-1) & 17.64 \pm 0.78 \\ Ash (g 100 g-1) & 5.48 \pm 0.19 \\ Carbohydrates (g 100 g-1) & 68.12 \pm 0.15 \\ Crude fibers (\%) & 27.24 \pm 2.41 \\ Soluble dietary fibers (\%) & 17.98 24 \pm 2.82 \\ Insoluble dietary fibers (\%) & 10.26 \pm 1.45 \\ \hline Total phenolic and flavonoid compound yound yound for the phenolic compounds, (mg GAE g-1) & 0.98 \pm 1.12 \\ Total flavonoid compound yound y$		Proteins (g 100 g-1)	$31.35 \pm 0.03$
Ash (g 100 g-1) $5.48 \pm 0.19$ Proximate composition         Carbohydrates (g 100 g-1) $68.12 \pm 0.15$ Crude fibers (%) $27.24 \pm 2.41$ Soluble dietary fibers (%) $17.98 24 \pm 2.82$ Insoluble dietary fibers (%) $10.26 \pm 1.45$ Fotal phenolic and flavonoid compound compounds, (mg GAE g-1) $0.98 \pm 1.12$ Total phenolic compounds, (mg QE g-1) $31.58 \pm 0.83$ Total flavonoid compounds, (mg QE g-1) $31.58 \pm 0.83$ Total flavonoid compounds, (mg QE g-1) $4.125 \pm 0.11$ % Inhibition (DPPH) $4.125 \pm 0.11$ % Inhibition (DPPH) $46.81 \pm 3.57$ TRAP (mg QE g -1) $9.11 \pm 0.70$ Evaluation $a^*$ Flour color $a^*$ Potassium $40.42 \pm 1.31$ $b^*$ $52.32 \pm 2.13$ Phosphorus $442.48 \pm 4.21$ Potassium $40.147 \pm 3.14$ Magnesium $220.14 \pm 1.48$ Calcium $207.69 \pm 1.48$ Sodium $124.22 \pm 1.22$ Vineral content         Iron $8.16 \pm 0.17$ mg/100g)         Z		Total lipid (g 100 g-1)	$17.64 \pm 0.78$
Proximate composition         Carbohydrates (g 100 g-1) $68.12 \pm 0.15$ Crude fibers (%) $27.24 \pm 2.41$ Soluble dietary fibers (%) $17.98 24 \pm 2.82$ Insoluble dietary fibers (%) $10.26 \pm 1.45$ Fotal phenolic and flavonoid compound         Total phenolic compounds, (mg GAE g-1) $0.98 \pm 1.12$ content         Total phenolic compounds, (mg QE g-1) $31.58 \pm 0.83$ Total tannin (mg TAEs g/100 g DW) $15.11 \pm 0.25$ Radical scavenging activity (ABTS <sup>+</sup> ) $4.125 \pm 0.11$ % Inhibition (DPPH) $46.81 \pm 3.57$ TRAP (mg QE g -1) $9.11 \pm 0.70$ L* $60.54 \pm 1.86$ Flour color $a^*$ b* $52.32 \pm 2.13$ Phosphorus $442.48 \pm 4.21$ Potassium $401.47 \pm 3.14$ Magnesium $220.14 \pm 1.48$ Calcium $207.69 \pm 1.48$ Sodium $124.22 \pm 1.22$ Mineral content         Iron $8.16 \pm 0.17$ mg/100g)         Zinc $4.52 \pm 0.47$ Copper $3.13 \pm 0.14$ $50.14$		Ash (g 100 g-1)	$5.48\pm0.19$
Crude fibers (%) $27.24 \pm 2.41$ Soluble dietary fibers (%) $17.98\ 24 \pm 2.82$ Insoluble dietary fibers (%) $10.26 \pm 1.45$ Fotal phenolic and flavonoid compound         Total phenolic compounds, (mg GAE g-1) $0.98 \pm 1.12$ content         Total flavonoid compounds, (mg GAE g-1) $0.98 \pm 1.12$ Total flavonoid compounds, (mg QE g-1) $31.58 \pm 0.83$ Total flavonoid compounds, (mg QE g-1) $31.58 \pm 0.83$ Total flavonoid compounds, (mg QE g-1) $4.125 \pm 0.11$ Radical scavenging activity (ABTS <sup>+</sup> ) $4.125 \pm 0.11$ % Inhibition (DPPH) $46.81 \pm 3.57$ FRAP (mg QE g-1) $9.11 \pm 0.70$ L* $60.54 \pm 1.86$ Flour color $a^*$ b* $52.32 \pm 2.13$ Phosphorus $442.48 \pm 4.21$ Potassium $401.47 \pm 3.14$ Magnesium $220.14 \pm 1.48$ Calcium $207.69 \pm 1.48$ Sodium $124.22 \pm 1.22$ Mineral content         Iron $8.16 \pm 0.17$ mg/100g)         Zinc $452 \pm 0.47$ Copper $3.13 \pm 0.14$	Proximate composition	Carbohydrates (g 100 g-1)	$68.12 \pm 0.15$
Soluble dietary fibers (%) $17.98\ 24\pm 2.82$ Insoluble dietary fibers (%) $10.26\pm 1.45$ Fotal phenolic and flavonoid compound contentTotal phenolic compounds, (mg GAE g-1) $0.98\pm 1.12$ Total flavonoid compounds, (mg QE g-1) $31.58\pm 0.83$ Total tannin (mg TAEs g/100 g DW) $15.11\pm 0.25$ Radical scavenging activity (ABTS <sup>+</sup> ) $4.125\pm 0.11$ % Inhibition (DPPH) $46.81\pm 3.57$ FRAP (mg QE g-1) $9.11\pm 0.70$ Flour color $a^*$ flour color $a^*$ Phosphorus $442.48\pm 4.21$ Potassium $401.47\pm 3.14$ Magnesium $220.14\pm 1.48$ Calcium $207.69\pm 1.48$ Vineral contentIronmg/100g)ZincZinc $4.52\pm 0.47$ Copper $31.3\pm 0.14$		Crude fibers (%)	$27.24 \pm 2.41$
Insoluble dietary fibers (%) $10.26 \pm 1.45$ Fotal phenolic and flavonoid compound contentTotal phenolic compounds, (mg GAE g-1) $0.98 \pm 1.12$ Total flavonoid compounds, (mg QE g-1) $31.58 \pm 0.83$ Fotal tannin (mg TAEs g/100 g DW) $15.11 \pm 0.25$ Radical scavenging activity (ABTS <sup>+</sup> ) $4.125 \pm 0.11$ % Inhibition (DPPH) $46.81 \pm 3.57$ FRAP (mg QE g-1) $9.11 \pm 0.70$ Factor color $a^*$ $b^*$ $52.32 \pm 2.13$ Phosphorus $442.48 \pm 4.21$ Phosphorus $401.47 \pm 3.14$ Magnesium $20.14 \pm 1.48$ Calcium $207.69 \pm 1.48$ Sodium $124.22 \pm 1.22$ Mineral contentIron $8.16 \pm 0.17$ ing/100g)Zinc $4.52 \pm 0.47$ Copper $3.13 \pm 0.14$		Soluble dietary fibers (%)	$17.98\ 24\pm 2.82$
Total phenolic and flavonoid compound contentTotal phenolic compounds, (mg GAE g-1) $0.98\pm 1.12$ $31.58\pm 0.83$ Total tannin (mg TAEs g/100 g DW) $15.11\pm 0.25$ Radical scavenging activity (ABTS <sup>+</sup> ) $4.125\pm 0.11$ % Inhibition (DPPH) $46.81\pm 3.57$ FRAP (mg QE g-1) $9.11\pm 0.70$ Factor color $a^*$ Flour color $a^*$ Phosphorus $442.48\pm 4.21$ Potassium $401.47\pm 3.14$ Magnesium $220.14\pm 1.48$ Calcium $207.69\pm 1.48$ Sodium $124.22\pm 1.22$ Vineral contentIronmg/100g)ZincCopper $3.13\pm 0.14$		Insoluble dietary fibers (%)	$10.26 \pm 1.45$
Total flavonoid compounds, (mg QE g-1) $31.58 \pm 0.83$ Fotal tannin (mg TAEs g/100 g DW) $15.11 \pm 0.25$ Radical scavenging activity (ABTS <sup>+</sup> ) $4.125 \pm 0.11$ % Inhibition (DPPH) $46.81 \pm 3.57$ FRAP (mg QE g -1) $9.11 \pm 0.70$ Calcium $0.54 \pm 1.86$ Flour color $a^*$ Phosphorus $442.48 \pm 4.21$ Phosphorus $401.47 \pm 3.14$ Magnesium $220.14 \pm 1.48$ Calcium $207.69 \pm 1.48$ Sodium $124.22 \pm 1.22$ Mineral content         Iron $8.16 \pm 0.17$ mg/100g)         Zinc $4.52 \pm 0.47$ Copper $3.13 \pm 0.14$	Total phenolic and flavonoid compound	Total phenolic compounds, (mg GAE g-1)	$0.98 \pm 1.12$
Interview of the system of t	content	Total flavonoid compounds, (mg QE g-1)	$31.58 \pm 0.83$
Radical scavenging activity (ABTS <sup>+</sup> ) $4.125 \pm 0.11$ % Inhibition (DPPH) $46.81 \pm 3.57$ FRAP (mg QE g -1) $9.11 \pm 0.70$ E $60.54 \pm 1.86$ Flour color $a^*$ $b^*$ $52.32 \pm 2.13$ Phosphorus $442.48 \pm 4.21$ Potassium $401.47 \pm 3.14$ Magnesium $220.14 \pm 1.48$ Calcium $207.69 \pm 1.48$ Sodium $124.22 \pm 1.22$ Mineral content       Iron $8.16 \pm 0.17$ (mg/100g)       Zinc $4.52 \pm 0.47$ Copper $3.13 \pm 0.14$	Total tannin (mg TAEs g/100 g DW)		$15.11 \pm 0.25$
% Inhibition (DPPH) $46.81 \pm 3.57$ FRAP (mg QE g -1) $9.11 \pm 0.70$ Flour color $a^*$ flour color $a^*$ $b^*$ $52.32 \pm 2.13$ Phosphorus $442.48 \pm 4.21$ Potassium $401.47 \pm 3.14$ Magnesium $220.14 \pm 1.48$ Calcium $207.69 \pm 1.48$ Sodium $124.22 \pm 1.22$ Mineral content       Iron $8.16 \pm 0.17$ img/100g)       Zinc $4.52 \pm 0.47$ Copper $3.13 \pm 0.14$	Radical scavenging activity (ABTS <sup>+</sup> )		$4.125 \pm 0.11$
ERAP (mg QE g -1) $9.11 \pm 0.70$ L* $60.54 \pm 1.86$ Flour color $a^*$ b* $52.32 \pm 2.13$ Phosphorus $442.48 \pm 4.21$ Potassium $401.47 \pm 3.14$ Magnesium $220.14 \pm 1.48$ Calcium $207.69 \pm 1.48$ Sodium $124.22 \pm 1.22$ Mineral content         Iron $8.16 \pm 0.17$ (mg/100g)         Zinc $4.52 \pm 0.47$ Copper $3.13 \pm 0.14$	% Inhibition (DPPH)		$46.81 \pm 3.57$
L* $60.54 \pm 1.86$ Flour color $a^*$ $10.42 \pm 1.31$ b* $52.32 \pm 2.13$ Phosphorus $442.48 \pm 4.21$ Potassium $401.47 \pm 3.14$ Magnesium $220.14 \pm 1.48$ Calcium $207.69 \pm 1.48$ Sodium $124.22 \pm 1.22$ Mineral content         Iron $8.16 \pm 0.17$ (mg/100g)         Zinc $4.52 \pm 0.47$ Copper $3.13 \pm 0.14$	FRAP (mg QE g -1)		$9.11 \pm 0.70$
Flour color $a^*$ $b^*$ $52.32 \pm 2.13$ Phosphorus $442.48 \pm 4.21$ Potassium $401.47 \pm 3.14$ Magnesium $220.14 \pm 1.48$ Calcium $207.69 \pm 1.48$ Sodium $124.22 \pm 1.22$ Mineral content 170 $124.22 \pm 1.22$ Mineral content 170 170 $8.16 \pm 0.17$ 170 $133 \pm 0.14$		$L^*$	$60.54 \pm 1.86$
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Flour color	$a^*$	$10.42 \pm 1.31$
$\begin{array}{ccc} Phosphorus & 442.48 \pm 4.21 \\ Potassium & 401.47 \pm 3.14 \\ Magnesium & 220.14 \pm 1.48 \\ Calcium & 207.69 \pm 1.48 \\ Sodium & 124.22 \pm 1.22 \\ Mineral content & Iron & 8.16 \pm 0.17 \\ mg/100g) & Zinc & 4.52 \pm 0.47 \\ Copper & 3.13 \pm 0.14 \end{array}$		$b^*$	$52.32 \pm 2.13$
$\begin{array}{ccc} \mbox{Potassium} & 401.47 \pm 3.14 \\ \mbox{Magnesium} & 220.14 \pm 1.48 \\ \mbox{Calcium} & 207.69 \pm 1.48 \\ \mbox{Sodium} & 124.22 \pm 1.22 \\ \mbox{Mineral content} & Iron & 8.16 \pm 0.17 \\ \mbox{mg/100g} & Zinc & 4.52 \pm 0.47 \\ \mbox{Copper} & 3.13 \pm 0.14 \\ \end{array}$		Phosphorus	$442.48 \pm 4.21$
$\begin{array}{ccc} Magnesium & 220.14 \pm 1.48 \\ Calcium & 207.69 \pm 1.48 \\ Sodium & 124.22 \pm 1.22 \\ Mineral content & Iron & 8.16 \pm 0.17 \\ mg/100g) & Zinc & 4.52 \pm 0.47 \\ Copper & 3.13 \pm 0.14 \end{array}$		Potassium	$401.47 \pm 3.14$
$\begin{array}{ccc} Calcium & 207.69 \pm 1.48 \\ Sodium & 124.22 \pm 1.22 \\ Mineral content & Iron & 8.16 \pm 0.17 \\ mg/100g) & Zinc & 4.52 \pm 0.47 \\ Copper & 3.13 \pm 0.14 \end{array}$		Magnesium	$220.14 \pm 1.48$
$ \begin{array}{c} \text{Sodium} & 124.22 \pm 1.22 \\ \text{Mineral content} & \text{Iron} & 8.16 \pm 0.17 \\ \text{mg/100g} & Zinc & 4.52 \pm 0.47 \\ \text{Copper} & 3.13 \pm 0.14 \end{array} $		Calcium	$207.69 \pm 1.48$
Mineral content         Iron $8.16 \pm 0.17$ img/100g)         Zinc $4.52 \pm 0.47$ Copper $3.13 \pm 0.14$		Sodium	$124.22 \pm 1.22$
$\begin{array}{c} \text{Img/100g} \\ \text{Copper} \\ 3.13 \pm 0.14 \end{array} $	Mineral content	Iron	$8.16\pm0.17$
Copper $3.13 \pm 0.14$	(mg/100g)	Zinc	$4.52\pm0.47$
		Copper	$3.13 \pm 0.14$
Vitamin C $1.77 \pm 0.51$		Vitamin C	$1.77 \pm 0.51$
Vitamin E $0.94 \pm 0.11$		Vitamin E	0.94 ± 0.11

Note:

- Values are presented as mean  $\pm$ SD (n=3). Color parameters: *lightness* ( $L^*$ ), *redness* ( $a^*$ ) and yellowness ( $b^*$ ). ABTS+; [2,2 V-azinobis (3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulfonic acid)

#### 2. HPLC

Using HPLC, the phenolic components of the loquat (*E. japonica*) extract prepared at optimal conditions were identified and quantified (Table 3 and Figure 2).

According to their elution sequence, the compounds are presented in Table (3) alongside the common chemical profile of the evaluated extract, retention indices, percentage content (%) of each component, and chemical class distribution of the phenolic compounds. The total numbers of detected components were twenty-three compounds, representing 99.99% of the total detectable constituents. The major investigated phytochemicals compounds were 1.8 cinéole (41.24 %), a-pinene (15.22 %), D-limonene (15.371 %), mystery acetate (14.35 %), Propionic acid, 2-methyl-, 2-methyl propyl ester (13.284%), Rosmarinic acid (11.484 %), o-Coumaric acid (11.025 %), Resveratrol (5.031 %), and Quercetin (4.015%) (Delfanian, et al. 2015, Kim, et al. 2022), which showed significant DPPH radical scavenging properties (p < 0.05). Other components were detected in less than 4%. Therefore, the significant (p < 0.05) antioxidant activity of the produced extracts could be attributable to their phenolic components of the same extract (Pellegrini, et al. 2003, Costa, et al. 2021).



Figure 2. HPLC profile of phenolic compounds in loquat (Eriobotrya japonica) extract

Table 3. Phenolic compounds of loquat (Eriobotrya japonica) extract.

Co	mpounds	RT %	Area
1.	Gallic acid	4.533	0.265
2.	Propionic acid, 2-methyl-, 2-methyl propyl ester	4.633	13.284
3.	Catechin	4.857	0.185
4.	Chlorogenic acid	4.975	0.454
5.	α-Pinene	4.975	15.224
6.	Vanillic acid	5.565	2.245
7.	Caffeic acid	5.899	0.158
8.	Syringic acid	6.274	0.175
9.	p-Coumaric acid	6.345	13.48
10.	D-Limonene	6.450	15.371
11.	1,8-cinéole	6.500	41.241
12.	Benzoic acid	6.501	0.168
13.	Ferulic acid	6.604	0.148
14.	Rutin	7.375	3.024
15.	Ellagic acid	7.908	0.178
16.	o-Coumaric acid	7.553	11.025
17.	Resveratrol	9.254	5.031
18.	Quercetin	9.058	4.015
19.	Rosamultic acid	9.807	11.484
20.	Naringenin	10.507	10.175
21.	Myricetin	10.859	3.258
22.	Kaempferol	11.178	2.158
23.	α -Terpineol	8.950	3.810

#### 3. Evaluation of the goat meat nuggets

The approximate chemical composition of the raw goat meat nuggets was adequate (Table 4). Each formulation had fat content below 23%, protein content above 15%, and total carbohydrate content below 3%, all of which were required by Egyptian legislation (Tamime, et al. 2014, Hall, et al. 2017, El Zokm, et al. 2021). The amounts of moisture,

protein, and ash were not comparable among formulations (p > 0.05). Additionally, the performances in the treatments, including the T2 and T3 samples, had the highest lipids, followed by the T1 sample, which wasn't different from the others. Since loquat flour is rich in lipids, the higher lipid content was likely attributable to intrinsic differences in the raw materials. The formulations had no variations ( $p \ge 0.05$ ) in pH values. The values have been between 6.00 and 6.11 (Table 4). In terms of cooking yield (Table 5), SET and T2 achieved the highest values on day 1st, while Control formulation demonstrated the lowest yield (p < 0.05). While control samples showed lower yields, T3 yield values were significantly higher ( $p \le 0.05$ ) or equal to those of the other formulations in the durations of 5, 10, and 15 days (p < 0.05). Due to the high carbohydrate content of loquat flour, the addition likely enhanced the yield. The ability of the soluble flour fibers to gel-forming may have positively influenced the final patty's stability and water-holding capacity (Borderías, et al. 2005, Kim and Paik 2012). All formulations, except for T3 samples, demonstrated a reduction in yield throughout the 5<sup>th</sup> and 10<sup>th</sup> days of storage compared to the beginning periods (1 and 15<sup>th</sup> days). But there was no reduction seen after the 15<sup>th</sup> day. With the exception of the control formulation, which had more shrinkage on the 10<sup>th</sup> day of storage, there was no difference  $(p \ge 0.05)$  in the percent shrinkage of the meat nuggets among the formulations. Regarding color, T3 sample on the first day were darker than SET but were the same as control sample and the other samples using loquat flour (Table 6).

Table 4. Approximate chemical composition (At 0 time) of goat meat nuggets prepared with loquat (E. japonica) extracts during cold storage at 4 °C for 15 days.

		Goat	meat nuggets		
Parameter	Control	SET (Negative control)	T1	T2	T3
Moisture (g 100 g-1)	$71.13 \pm 0.30$ a	$71.16 \pm 0.50^{\text{ a}}$	$69.81 \pm 0.59$ <sup>a</sup>	$69.60 \pm 0.79$ <sup>a</sup>	$70.66 \pm 0.61$ a
Proteins (g 100 g-1)	$15.64 \pm 0.42$ a	$15.92 \pm 0.37$ <sup>a</sup>	$16.00 \pm 0.72$ a	$16.02 \pm 1.45$ <sup>a</sup>	$16.02 \pm 0.46$ <sup>a</sup>
Lipids (g 100 g-1)	9.59 ± 0.29 <sup>a</sup>	$9.34 \pm 0.57$ a	$9.53 \pm 0.72$ a	$10.10 \pm 0.85$ <sup>ab</sup>	$10.98 \pm 0.70$ <sup>b</sup>
Ash (g 100 g-1)	2.69 ± 0.01 a	$2.71 \pm 0.06$ <sup>a</sup>	$2.74\pm0.14$ a	$2.80 \pm 0.05$ a	$2.78 \pm 0.04$ a
Carbohydrates (g 100 g-1)	$0.94 \pm 0.15$ a	$0.87 \pm 0.59$ <sup>a</sup>	$1.35\pm0.42$ $^{a}$	$1.34 \pm 1.97$ <sup>a</sup>	$1.01 \pm 0.20$ <sup>a</sup>
рН	$6.10 \pm 0.05$ <sup>a</sup>	$6.07 \pm 0.04$ <sup>a</sup>	$6.00\pm0.09~^a$	$6.04 \pm 0.05$ <sup>a</sup>	$6.11 \pm 0.03$ a
NT /					

Note:

- Values are presented as mean ±SD (n=3). a-b by various small letters in the same row, the Tukey test shows a significant difference (p ≤0.05) between the means followed. A-C by various small letters in the same row, the Tukey test shows a significant difference ( $p \leq 0.05$ ) between the means followed.

As did (Karpińska and Draszanowska 2019, Negrão, et al. 2023), who reported  $L^*$  of 59.21 for chekien meat nuggets treated with sodium erythorbate (Martinez, 2003) observe comparable  $L^*$  values (54.15) while analyzing rabbit patties with 3.5% loguat flour. In comparison to the Control and SET samples, T2 samples were lighter after 15th days of

storage. However, The formulations prepared with loquat flour were the darkest on the 10<sup>th</sup> day. At 15<sup>th</sup> days,  $L^*$  was approximately 54 in all samples other than the Control samples, and there was no difference ( $p \ge 0.05$ ). Due to the color of the flour, the addition of loquat flour generally caused the patties to be brown (Table 1 & 6). At all investigated times, T1, T2, and T3 had less reddish values for the  $a^*$ parameter when compared to the control samples and SET formulations ( $p \le 0.05$ ). According to (Allam, et al. 2021, Gao, et al. 2021), who examined raw meatballs using 0.5% loquat extract, they observed similar results. This is a result of the flour's low  $a^*$  value. Compared to the control samples and SET formulations, T1, T2, and T3 were more yellowish regarding the  $b^*$  parameter ( $p \le 0.05$ ). When examining raw meatballs with 1% loquat extract, (Gao, et al. 2021) observed higher average  $b^*$  values (26.75) than those found in the present study, most likely because of the higher concentration of loquat extract utilized. Small color changes were noticed throughout storage time, however, T3 stayed unchanged.

Table 5. Percent cooking yield and shrinkage of goat meat nuggets prepared with loquat (*E. japonica*) extracts during cold storage at 4 °C for 15 days.

Donomotor	Period	-	Goat meat nuggets						
rarameter	(days)	Control	SET (Negative control)	T1	T2	T3			
	0 <sup>th</sup> day	$83.61 \pm 0.45$ dA	$88.92 \pm 0.67 \ ^{abA}$	$86.81 \pm 0.41$ cA	$89.45aA \pm 0.52$	$87.47 \pm 0.48$ bcA			
Cooking yield	5 <sup>th</sup> day	$83.66 \pm 0.98$ bA	$84.39 \pm 0.50 \ ^{bB}$	$86.63 \pm 0.66$ <sup>abA</sup>	$88.26 \pm 0.81 \ ^{aAB}$	$86.02 \pm 1.43$ <sup>abA</sup>			
(%)	10 <sup>th</sup> day	$73.80 \pm 0.58 \ ^{\mathrm{bB}}$	$74.92\pm0.80~^{abC}$	$78.11 \pm 1.41$ <sup>abC</sup>	$79.81 \pm 1.07 \ ^{abC}$	$84.34 \pm 7.01 \ ^{\mathrm{aA}}$			
	15 <sup>th</sup> day	$83.89 \pm 0.72$ bcA	$82.87 \pm 1.16$ <sup>cB</sup>	$82.13 \pm 0.32$ <sup>cB</sup>	$87.11 \pm 0.23$ bB	$91.27 \pm 0.72 \ ^{\mathrm{aA}}$			
	0 <sup>th</sup> day	$12.92 \pm 0.84$ <sup>aA</sup>	$13.35 \pm 0.97$ <sup>aA</sup>	13.53 ± 1.86 <sup>aA</sup>	$13.06 \pm 1.07$ <sup>aA</sup>	$11.35 \pm 0.82 \ ^{\mathrm{aA}}$			
Shrinkage	5 <sup>th</sup> day	$13.93 \pm 0.30$ <sup>aA</sup>	$13.06 \pm 1.15 \text{ aA}$	13.46 ± 1.93 <sup>aA</sup>	$12.84 \pm 1.18$ <sup>aA</sup>	$13.20 \pm 0.86$ <sup>aA</sup>			
(%)	10 <sup>th</sup> day	$15.97 \pm 0.42 \ ^{\mathrm{aB}}$	$15.74 \pm 0.94$ <sup>aA</sup>	15.99 ± 1.39 <sup>aA</sup>	15.16± 0.50 <sup>aA</sup>	$13.10 \pm 2.79 \ ^{\mathrm{aA}}$			
· · ·	15 <sup>th</sup> day	$13.31 \pm 0.99$ <sup>aA</sup>	$13.30 \pm 1.41$ <sup>aA</sup>	$14.87\pm1.08~^{aA}$	14.64± 1.03 <sup>aA</sup>	11.35±0.57 <sup>aA</sup>			

Note:

 Values are presented as mean ±SD (n=3). a-b by various small letters in the same row, the Tukey test shows a significant difference (p ≤0.05) between the means followed. A-C by various small letters in the same row, the Tukey test shows a significant difference (p ≤0.05) between the means followed.

Table 6. Color parameters *L*\*, *a*\*, and *b*\* of goat meat nuggets prepared with *loquat* (*E. japonica*) extracts during cold storage at 4 °C for 15 days.

5010		1 10 uuys.				
Domomotor	Period		G	oat meat nuggets		
Farameter	(days)	Control	SET (Negative control)	T1	T2	T3
L*	0 <sup>th</sup> day	57.30 ± 3.37 <sup>abA</sup>	58.25 ± 1.18 <sup>aA</sup>	57.07 ± 1.83 <sup>abA</sup>	56.11 ± 1.88 <sup>abA</sup>	54.51 ± 2.33 <sup>bA</sup>
	5 <sup>th</sup> day	$56.12 \pm 1.14$ <sup>aA</sup>	$56.75 \pm 1.85 \text{ aA}$	$55.23 \pm 1.83 \text{ abAB}$	$53.60 \pm 1.59 \ ^{bB}$	$54.48 \pm 2.60$ <sup>abA</sup>
	10 <sup>th</sup> day	$55.56 \pm 1.66$ <sup>abA</sup>	56.31 ±1.85 <sup>aA</sup>	$54.49 \pm 1.94 \ ^{bcB}$	$53.09 \pm 0.42 \ ^{\text{cB}}$	$54.58 \pm 0.83$ bcA
	15 <sup>th</sup> day	$57.44 \pm 0.87$ <sup>aA</sup>	$54.38 \pm 1.65$ bB	$54.21 \pm 1.16$ bB	$54.31 \pm 0.64 \ ^{bB}$	$54.64 \pm 1.06$ bA
a*	0 <sup>th</sup> day	$6.14 \pm 1.17 \text{ bA}$	$7.72 \pm 1.09 \text{ aA}$	5.33±0.84 bcA	$4.18 \pm 0.65$ cdAB	$3.75 \pm 0.97$ dA
	5 <sup>th</sup> day	$6.12 \pm 0.42$ bA	$7.41 \pm 1.17$ <sup>aA</sup>	$4.37 \pm 0.72 \text{ cAB}$	$3.12 \pm 0.38$ cB	$4.06 \pm 0.99$ cA
	10 <sup>th</sup> day	$5.48 \pm 0.26$ bA	$7.40 \pm 0.48$ <sup>aA</sup>	$4.83 \pm 0.53 \text{ bcAB}$	$4.76 \pm 0.76$ bcA	$4.29 \pm 0.99$ cA
	15 <sup>th</sup> day	6.62± 1.07 <sup>aA</sup>	$6.71 \pm 0.82$ <sup>aA</sup>	$3.94 \pm 1.27 \ ^{\mathrm{bB}}$	$3.96 \pm 0.90 \ ^{bAB}$	$3.92 \pm 0.89$ bA
$b^*$	0 <sup>th</sup> day	$11.84 \pm 2.12$ bA	13.65± 1.44 bA	$20.24 \pm 0.80$ aA	$22.18 \pm 2.96$ <sup>aA</sup>	22.94 ± 2.05 <sup>aA</sup>
	5 <sup>th</sup> day	$11.30 \pm 1.61$ cA	$12.73 \pm 0.94$ cA	17.16 ± 1.33 bB	$20.25 \pm 2.05 \text{ aAB}$	22.42 ± 2.24 <sup>aA</sup>
	10 <sup>th</sup> day	$10.24 \pm 1.64$ <sup>cA</sup>	$12.84 \pm 1.98$ cA	$17.02 \pm 1.99 \ ^{bB}$	$19.46 \pm 2.94 \text{ abAB}$	21.95 ± 3.45 <sup>aA</sup>
	15 <sup>th</sup> day	$11.65 \pm 1.17 \text{ cA}$	$10.16 \pm 2.19 \ ^{\text{cB}}$	$15.47 \pm 2.57 \text{ bB}$	$18.80 \pm 2.09 \ ^{aB}$	$21.00 \pm 2.93$ <sup>aA</sup>

Note:

- Color parameters: lightness (L\*), redness (a\*) and yellowness (b\*).

- Values are presented as mean ±SD (n=3). a-b by various small letters in the same row, the Tukey test shows a significant difference (p ≤0.05) between the means followed. A-C by various small letters in the same row, the Tukey test shows a significant difference (p ≤0.05) between the means followed.

Table (7) and Fig (3) illustrate the meat nuggets textural profiles after cooking. Regarding hardness, T1 samples were the softest between day 1st and day 10th of storage. Samples SET were the second softest on the 1st and 15th days. But on day 15th, there was no difference between the formulations ( $p \ge 0.05$ ). Springiness did not change between the formulations after the 10<sup>th</sup> day of storage. On the 15<sup>th</sup> day, T2 was less springy than the others. In comparison to the other textural characteristics, the cohesiveness of the SET and T2 formulations is significantly higher ( $p \le 0.05$ ) than that of the T3 and Control formulations. The results showed no change (p > 0.05) between formulations for the chewiness characteristic, indicating that loquat flour had no effect on this important texture characteristic. Regarding the meat samples treated with pomegranate peel and bagasse powder (Adorjan and Buchbauer 2010) found that chewiness values increased ( $p \le 0.05$ ). Throughout storage, SE showed the highest level of resiliency. T2 and T3 provided comparable results to the Control formulation. At 15<sup>th</sup> days, all formulations showed comparable resiliency.

Concerning lipid oxidation, the Control samples showed increased oxidation compared to the other samples on days 0, 5, 10, and 15 (Table 8), demonstrating the significance of using antioxidants while preparing meat naggets. On the day 1st of storage, the SET samples showed about 1.9 times lower TBARS+ values than the control, showing no difference from T1 samples and T2 samples. The T3 samples demonstrated the lowest amount of lipid oxidation, twice as low as the control. On day  $10^{\text{th}}$  of storage, no changes (p > 0.05) between all samples were detected, probably due to the significant standard ( $p \le 0.05$ ) deviation obtained for the control samples. On day 15th of storage, the control samples was six times more oxidized than the SET and loquat flour formulations, indicating that loquat flour, like sodium erythorbate, performed as an antioxidant. On the 15th day of storage, SET and T3 exhibited the lowest TBARS+ values compared to the other samples (Table 8). According to (Mancini, et al. 2016), who reported that decreased TBARS<sup>+</sup> levels in meat patties cooked with 3.5% loquat flour (0.13 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) and 0.1% ascorbic acid (0.18 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) when compared

to the control (0.30 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>). Using loquat extract prevented an increase in *TBARS*<sup>+</sup> levels in meatballs (0.17 versus 0.39 mg MDA kg<sup>-1</sup>) compared to the control (0.39 mg MDA kg<sup>-1</sup>) (Akarpat, et al. 2008, Agregán, et al. 2019). When the storage time was evaluated, *TBARS*<sup>+</sup> values increased up to 15<sup>th</sup> days in all samples. This demonstrated that this period had the highest peak of Malondialdehyde formation and that the oxidation values were significantly lowered (p < 0.05) with the biodegradation of this compound; this strategy can be implemented in some lipid oxidation products (Akarpat, et al. 2008), caused by secondary malonaldehyde reactions, as confirmed by (Al-Bulushi, et al. 2005) in meat sausage. The control samples had higher *TBARS*<sup>+</sup> levels after 10<sup>th</sup> days of storage than the human detection limit of 2 mg MDA kg<sup>-1</sup> (Bilal, et al. 2006), creating a rancid odor and taste detectable to consumers. According to the lipid oxidation data, loquat flour has antioxidant activity comparable to sodium erythorbate. This suggests that it has significant potential (p < 0.05) to replace this synthetic antioxidant without affecting chemical properties, shrinkage, or textural profile.



Fig 3. Three-dimensional (3D) response surface plots of texture profile analysis (A: Hardness); (B; Springiness); (C; Cohesiveness); (D; Chewiness); and (E; Resilience) of goat meat nuggets prepared with loquat (*E. japonica*) extracts during cold storage at 4 °C for 15 days.

Domonoton	Period	Goat meat nuggets					
Parameter	(days)	Control	SET (Negative control)	T1	T2	T3	
	0 <sup>th</sup> day	$12.40 \pm 1.77 \ ^{\mathrm{aB}}$	$10.39 \pm 1.34$ bcB	9.35 ± 1.11 <sup>cB</sup>	$11.56 \pm 0.48$ <sup>abB</sup>	$12.47 \pm 0.71$ <sup>aA</sup>	
Handmann (NI)	5 <sup>th</sup> day	$15.62 \pm 2.33$ <sup>aA</sup>	$11.14 \pm 0.51$ bcB	$9.26 \pm 0.81 \ ^{cB}$	$14.93 \pm 2.63$ <sup>aA</sup>	$11.97 \pm 0.65 \text{ bAB}$	
Hardness (IN)	10 <sup>th</sup> day	11.73± 1.19 bB	$14.9 \pm 2.20$ <sup>aaA</sup>	$9.63 \pm 1.07 \ ^{\mathrm{cB}}$	$12.47 \pm 1.42 ^{\mathrm{bAB}}$	$11.12 \pm 1.02 \text{ bcB}$	
	15 <sup>th</sup> day	$11.59 \pm 0.84 \ ^{\mathrm{aB}}$	$12.04 \pm 1.66 \ ^{aB}$	$11.69 \pm 1.31 \ ^{\mathrm{aA}}$	$12.47 \pm 2.31 \ ^{\mathrm{aB}}$	$10.06 \pm 0.62 \ ^{aC}$	
	0 <sup>th</sup> day	$6.41 \pm 0.10$ aA	$6.45 \pm 0.04$ aA	$6.45 \pm 0.06$ aA	6.41±0.08 <sup>aA</sup>	6.37±0.08 <sup>aA</sup>	
Cominging (man)	5 <sup>th</sup> day	$6.39\pm0.06~\mathrm{^{aA}}$	$6.46 \pm 0.03 \ ^{\mathrm{aA}}$	$6.41 \pm 0.09 \ ^{aA}$	$6.38 \pm 0.09$ aA	$6.41 \pm 0.06 \ ^{aA}$	
Springiness (min)	10 <sup>th</sup> day	$6.33 \pm 0.06$ aA	$6.42 \pm 0.06$ aA	$6.43\pm0.07~^{\mathrm{aA}}$	$6.10 \pm 0.18 \ ^{bB}$	6.38± 0.10 <sup>aA</sup>	
	15 <sup>th</sup> day	$6.38\pm0.08~^{abA}$	$6.45\pm0.04~^{\mathrm{aA}}$	$6.41\pm0.08~^{aA}$	$6.27 \pm 0.10$ bab	$6.42\pm0.06~^{aA}$	
	0 <sup>th</sup> day	$0.87 \pm 0.02 \ ^{\mathrm{dB}}$	$1.00 \pm 0.03$ <sup>aA</sup>	$1.01 \pm 0.02 \ ^{aA}$	0.96± 0.02 bA	$0.91 \pm 0.01 \ ^{\text{cB}}$	
Cohasiwanasa	5 <sup>th</sup> day	$0.89 \pm 0.01 \ ^{\rm cB}$	$0.97\pm0.02~^{\mathrm{aA}}$	$0.95\pm0.05~^{abB}$	$0.88 \pm 0.03 \ ^{cB}$	$0.92 \pm 0.01 \text{ bcAB}$	
Conesiveness	10 <sup>th</sup> day	$0.89 \pm 0.01 \ ^{abB}$	$0.86\pm0.02~^{bB}$	0.91 ±0.03 <sup>aB</sup>	$0.85 \pm 0.03 \ ^{\mathrm{bB}}$	$0.87 \pm 0.04 \ ^{abC}$	
	15 <sup>th</sup> day	$0.95 \pm 0.02$ <sup>abA</sup>	$0.99 \pm 0.03$ aA	$0.92\pm0.02~^{bB}$	$0.97\pm0.05~^{abA}$	$0.95\pm0.02~^{abA}$	
	0 <sup>th</sup> day	69.99 ± 3.41 <sup>aA</sup>	62.96± 3.58 <sup>aA</sup>	$61.32 \pm 8.40$ aA	$70.93 \pm 1.70$ aA	$70.93 \pm 5.59$ <sup>aA</sup>	
Chewiness	5 <sup>th</sup> day	$74.28 \pm 10.09$ <sup>aA</sup>	$69.84 \pm 3.81$ <sup>aA</sup>	63.13±4.38 <sup>aA</sup>	$73.75 \pm 8.08 \ \mathrm{aA}$	$69.23 \pm 3.93$ <sup>aA</sup>	
(Nmm)	10 <sup>th</sup> day	$65.86 \pm 3.04$ aA	$75.78 \pm 12.73$ <sup>aA</sup>	$62.04 \pm 2.92 \ ^{aA}$	$69.71 \pm 7.55$ aA	$62.33 \pm 1.82 \ ^{\mathrm{aA}}$	
	15 <sup>th</sup> day	$68.62 \pm 5.29$ aA	67.27± 5.11 <sup>aA</sup>	$67.62 \pm 6.50 \ ^{\rm aA}$	70.56 ±13.97 <sup>aA</sup>	$61.98 \pm 2.86$ <sup>aA</sup>	
	0 <sup>th</sup> day	$0.44 \pm 0.01 \text{ bcA}$	$0.48 \pm 0.02$ <sup>aA</sup>	$0.45\pm0.03~^{abcA}$	$0.46 \pm 0.01$ <sup>abA</sup>	$0.42 \pm 0.02$ cA	
D '''	5 <sup>th</sup> day	$0.43\pm0.01~^{bA}$	$0.46 \pm 0.01$ <sup>aA</sup>	$0.43\pm0.02~^{bAB}$	$0.42 \pm 0.01$ bB	$0.43 \pm 0.02$ bA	
Kesmence	10 <sup>th</sup> day	$0.40 \pm 0.01 \ ^{bcB}$	$0.43\pm0.02~^{aB}$	$0.41 \pm 0.01$ <sup>abB</sup>	0.39 ±0.00 <sup>cdC</sup>	$0.38\pm0.01~^{\rm dB}$	
	15 <sup>th</sup> day	$0.44 \pm 0.01$ <sup>abA</sup>	$0.45\pm0.02~^{aAB}$	$0.42\pm0.01~^{bAB}$	$0.45\pm0.02~^{aA}$	$0.44 \pm 0.01$ <sup>abA</sup>	

Table 7. Texture profile analysis (*TPA*) of goat meat nuggets prepared with loquat (*E. japonica*) extracts during cold storage at 4 °C for 15 days.

Note:

- Values are presented as mean ±SD (n=6). a-b by various small letters in the same row, the Tukey test shows a significant difference (p ≤0.05) between the means followed. A-C by various small letters in the same row, the Tukey test shows a significant difference (p ≤0.05) between the means followed.

Table 8. Lipid oxidation values (mg malonaldehyde kg <sup>-1</sup>	sample) of goat n	neat nuggets prepa	red with loquat (E.
<i>japonica</i> ) extracts during cold storage at 4 °C for 1.	5 davs.		

Period goat meat nuggets							
(days)	Control	SET (Negative control)	T1	T2	Т3		
0 <sup>th</sup> day	$0.47^{aB}\pm0.02$	0.27±0.01 <sup>bB</sup>	$0.27 \pm 0.03$ bA	$0.23\pm0.02^{bcB}$	$0.21 \pm 0.03$ °C		
5 <sup>th</sup> day	$0.51^{aB}\pm0.20$	$0.28 \pm 0.05 ^{\mathrm{aB}}$	$0.40\pm0.09^{aA}$	$0.42\pm0.05^{aAB}$	$0.35\pm0.01^{aAB}$		
10 <sup>th</sup> day	$2.52^{aA} \pm 0.17$	$0.38\pm0.07^{bA}$	$0.48\pm0.04^{bA}$	$0.45\pm0.06^{bAB}$	$0.36\pm0.05^{bA}$		
15 <sup>th</sup> day	$1.27\pm0.64^{aB}$	$0.33b\pm0.01^{bAB}$	$0.62\pm0.34^{abA}$	$0.59\pm0.28^{abA}$	$0.28\pm0.01^{bB}$		
Notes							

- Values are presented as mean  $\pm$ SD (n=4). a-b by various small letters in the same row, the Tukey test shows a significant difference ( $p \le 0.05$ ) between the means followed. A-C by various small letters in the same row, the Tukey test shows a significant difference ( $p \le 0.05$ ) between the means followed.

## CONCLUSION

As a conclusion of this study, it was observed that loquat seed powder had significant protein levels and total dietary fibre. Moreover, a high amount of phosphorus, potassium, magnesium, calcium, sodium, and a considerable amount of iron, zinc, and copper. Total phenolic, flavonoids, and total tannins contents in loquat (E. japonica) flour were substantial and exhibited antioxidant activity. Adding loquat seed powder resulted in less reddish and darker, more yellowish goat meat naggets. When loquat flour was utilized for synthetic polymer antioxidants in goat meat nuggets, the resulting meat product had oxidative stability comparable to the synthetic antioxidant, enhanced cooking yield, and no changes to its chemical composition or textural profile. Our research established that adding loquat seed extract positively impacts meat products' physicochemical properties and quality characteristics. The results showed that the loquat seed extract's natural antioxidant content significantly slowed fat oxidation during cold storage. Therefore, extracts from loquat seed could be used as potent natural additives with multidimensional benefits in the meat industry and its products.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work has been supported by the Ministry of Higher Education in Egypt. We also extend our thanks to all

staff members at Food Science and Technology Dept, Faculty of Agricultural, Menoufia University.

**Funding:** This research did not receive any outside support. **Ethics statements:** 

- This manuscript does not contain any animal studies.
- This manuscript does not contain any human studies.
- No potentially identifying human photographs or data are presented in this study.

#### REFERENCES

- Adorjan, B. and Buchbauer, G. (2010). "Biological properties of essential oils: an updated review." Flavour and Fragrance Journal 25(6): 407-426.
- Agregán, R., F. J. Barba, M. Gavahian, D. Franco, A. M. Khaneghah, J. Carballo, I. C. Ferreira, A. C. da Silva Barretto and J. M. Lorenzo (2019). "Fucus vesiculosus extracts as natural antioxidants for improvement of physicochemical properties and shelf life of pork patties formulated with oleogels." Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture 99(10): 4561-4570.
- Ahmad, R. S., A. Imran and M. B. Hussain (2018). "Nutritional composition of meat." Meat science and nutrition 61(10.5772).

- Akarpat, A., S. Turhan and N. Ustun (2008). "Effects of hotwater extracts from myrtle, rosemary, nettle and lemon balm leaves on lipid oxidation and color of beef patties during frozen storage." Journal of Food processing and Preservation 32(1): 117-132.
- Al-Bulushi, I. M., S. Kasapis, H. Al-Oufi and S. Al-Mamari (2005). "Evaluating the quality and storage stability of fish burgers during frozen storage." Fisheries Science 71(3): 648-654.
- Al Jumayi, H. A., A. Y. Allam, A. E.-D. El-Beltagy, E. H. Algarni, S. F. Mahmoud and A. A. El Halim Kandil (2022). "Bioactive compound, antioxidant, and radical scavenging activity of some plant aqueous extracts for enhancing shelf life of cold-stored rabbit meat." Antioxidants 11(6): 1056.
- Allam, A. and Dolganova N. (2017). "Effects of edible chitosan-based films produced from shrimp penaeus semisulcatus on storability and quality of tomato fruits." Scientific Light 1(4): 84-89.
- Allam, A. Y. (2022). Lemon verbena leaves (*lippia citriodora*) extract as a natural preservative in meat patties: Effects on physicochemical, microbiological, and sensory properties. Modern Movement of Science: Proceedings of the 14th International Scientific and Practical Internet Conference, October 13-14, FOP Marenichenko VV, Dnipro, Ukraine, 65 P
- Allam, A. Y. F., Vadimovna, D. N. and Kandil, A. A. E. H. (2021). "Functional Characteristics Of Bioactive Phytochemicals In *Beta Vulgaris* L. Root And Their Application As Encapsulated Additives In Meat Products." Carpathian Journal of Food Science and Technology 13(4): 173-191.
- Amaral, A. B., Silva, M. V. d. and Lannes, S. C. d. S. (2018). "Lipid oxidation in meat: mechanisms and protective factors–a review." Food Science and Technology 38: 1-15.
- Bilal, M., Suleman M. and A. Raziq (2006). "Buffalo: black gold of Pakistan." Livestock research for rural development 18(9): 140-151.
- Borderías, A. J., I. Sánchez-Alonso and M. Pérez-Mateos (2005). "New applications of fibres in foods: Addition to fishery products." Trends in Food Science & Technology 16(10): 458-465.
- Bouis, H. E. and R. M. Welch (2010). "Biofortification—a sustainable agricultural strategy for reducing micronutrient malnutrition in the global south." Crop science 50: S-20-S-32.
- Cardoso Teixeira, E., A. Ribeiro de Carvalho Junior, M. Geraldo de Carvalho, S. Pereira Cabrera, T. M. Sarmento da Silva and R. Oliveira Ferreira (2022). "Rapid characterization of secondary metabolites in Caryocar brasiliense leaf extract and antiradical activity." Emirates Journal of Food & Agriculture (EJFA) 34(6).
- Cares-Pacheco, M. G. and V. Falk (2023). "A phenomenological law for complex granular materials from Mohr-Coulomb theory." Advanced Powder Technology 34(1): 103888.
- Cashman, K. D. (2020). "Vitamin D deficiency: defining, prevalence, causes, and strategies of addressing." Calcified tissue international 106(1): 14-29.

- Choi, C. W., S. C. Kim, S. S. Hwang, B. K. Choi, H. J. Ahn, M. Y. Lee, S. H. Park and S. K. Kim (2002). "Antioxidant activity and free radical scavenging capacity between Korean medicinal plants and flavonoids by assay-guided comparison." Plant science 163(6): 1161-1168.
- Costa, B. P., D. Carpiné, F. E. da Silva Bambirra Alves, R. C. T. Barbi, A. M. de Melo, M. Ikeda and R. H. Ribani (2021). "Thermal, structural, morphological and bioactive characterization of acid and neutral modified loquat (*Eriobotrya japonica* lindl.) seed starch and its by-products." Journal of Thermal Analysis and Calorimetry: 1-17.
- Costa, B. P., D. Carpiné, M. Ikeda, I. A. E. Pazzini, F. E. d. S.
  B. Alves, A. M. de Melo and R. H. Ribani (2023).
  "Bioactive coatings from non-conventional loquat (Eriobotrya japonica Lindl.) seed starch to extend strawberries shelf-life: An antioxidant packaging." Progress in Organic Coatings 175: 107320.
- Costa, B. P., M. Ikeda, A. M. de Melo, F. E. S. B. Alves, D. Carpiné and R. H. Ribani (2022). "Eriobotrya japonica fruits and its by-products: A promising fruit with bioactive profile and trends in the food application–A bibliometric review." Food Bioscience: 102099.
- Dave, D. and A. E. Ghaly (2011). "Meat spoilage mechanisms and preservation techniques: a critical review." American Journal of Agricultural and Biological Sciences 6(4): 486-510.
- De Girolamo, A., V. Lippolis and M. Pascale (2022). "Overview of recent liquid chromatography mass spectrometry-based methods for natural toxins detection in food products." Toxins 14(5): 328.
- Delfanian, M., R. Esmaeilzadeh Kenari and M. A. Sahari (2015). "Influence of extraction techniques on antioxidant properties and bioactive compounds of loquat fruit (E riobotrya japonica Lindl.) skin and pulp extracts." Food Science & Nutrition 3(3): 179-187.
- Delfanian, M., R. E. Kenari and M. A. Sahari (2015). "Antioxidant activity of loquat (Eriobotrya japonica Lindl.) fruit peel and pulp extracts in stabilization of soybean oil during storage conditions." International Journal of Food Properties 18(12): 2813-2824.
- Deng, G.-F., C. Shen, X.-R. Xu, R.-D. Kuang, Y.-J. Guo, L.-S. Zeng, L.-L. Gao, X. Lin, J.-F. Xie and E.-Q. Xia (2012). "Potential of fruit wastes as natural resources of bioactive compounds." International journal of molecular sciences 13(7): 8308-8323.
- Dhiman, A., R. Suhag, D. Thakur, V. Gupta and P. K. Prabhakar (2022). "Current status of Loquat (Eriobotrya japonica Lindl.): Bioactive functions, preservation approaches, and processed products." Food Reviews International 38(sup1): 286-316.
- Diack, M. and M. Saska (1994). "Separation of vitamin E and  $\gamma$ -oryzanols from rice bran by normal-phase chromatography." Journal of the American Oil Chemists' Society 71(11): 1211-1217.
- Djeridane, A., M. Yousfi, B. Nadjemi, D. Boutassouna, P. Stocker and N. Vidal (2006). "Antioxidant activity of some Algerian medicinal plants extracts containing phenolic compounds." Food chemistry 97(4): 654-660.

- Domínguez, R., M. Pateiro, M. Gagaoua, F. J. Barba, W. Zhang and J. M. Lorenzo (2019). "A comprehensive review on lipid oxidation in meat and meat products." Antioxidants 8(10): 429.
- El-Gammal, O. E.-S., A. Gaafar, R. Salem and D. El-messiry (2018). "Evaluation of chicken nuggets formulated with loquat (Eribotrya japonica) seeds powder." Journal of Food and Dairy Sciences 9(2): 77-82.
- El Zokm, G. M., M. M. Ismail and G. F. El-Said (2021). "Halogen content relative to the chemical and biochemical composition of fifteen marine macro and micro algae: nutritional value, energy supply, antioxidant potency, and health risk assessment." Environmental Science and Pollution Research 28: 14893-14908.
- Erdal, S. and M. Taskin (2010). "Production of alpha-amylase by Penicillium expansum MT-1 in solid-state fermentation using waste Loquat (Eriobotrya japonica Lindley) kernels as substrate." Romanian Biotechnological Letters 15(3): 5342-5350.
- Fernández-López, J., E. Sendra, E. Sayas-Barberá, C. Navarro and J. Pérez-Alvarez (2008). "Physicochemical and microbiological profiles of "salchichón" (Spanish dry-fermented sausage) enriched with orange fiber." Meat science 80(2): 410-417.
- Floros, J. D., R. Newsome, W. Fisher, G. V. Barbosa-Cánovas, H. Chen, C. P. Dunne, J. B. German, R. L. Hall, D. R. Heldman and M. V. Karwe (2010). "Feeding the world today and tomorrow: the importance of food science and technology: an IFT scientific review." Comprehensive Reviews in Food Science and Food Safety 9(5): 572-599.
- Gao, B., X. Hu, R. Li, Y. Zhao, Y. Tu and Y. Zhao (2021). "Screening of characteristic umami substances in preserved egg yolk based on the electronic tongue and UHPLC-MS/MS." LWT 152: 112396.
- Georgiadou, E. C., V. Goulas, I. Majak, A. Ioannou, J. Leszczyńska and V. Fotopoulos (2018). "Antioxidant potential and phytochemical content of selected fruits and vegetables consumed in Cyprus." Biotechnology and Food Science 82(1).
- Gill, C. (1996). "Extending the storage life of raw chilled meats." Meat science 43: 99-109.
- Hall, C., C. Hillen and J. Garden Robinson (2017). "Composition, nutritional value, and health benefits of pulses." Cereal Chemistry 94(1): 11-31.
- Herrero, M., J. A. Mendiola, A. Cifuentes and E. Ibáñez (2010). "Supercritical fluid extraction: Recent advances and applications." Journal of Chromatography a 1217(16): 2495-2511.
- Horwitz, W. (2000). Official methods of analysis of association of official analytical chemists international, Association of Official Analytical Chemists International.
- Jia, S.-M., X.-F. Liu, D.-M. Kong and H.-X. Shen (2012). "A simple, post-additional antioxidant capacity assay using adenosine triphosphate-stabilized 2, 2'-azinobis (3-ethylbenzothiazoline)-6-sulfonic acid (ABTS) radical cation in a G-quadruplex DNAzyme catalyzed ABTS–H2O2 system." Biosensors and Bioelectronics 35(1): 407-412.

- Kandil, A., M. Aly-Aldin and A. Allam (2020). "Quality Characteristics of Processed Low-Fat Beef Sausage as Affected by Chickpea Protein Isolates Prolonged Cold Storage." Journal of Food and Dairy Sciences 11(12): 363-368.
- Karpińska-Tymoszczyk, M. and A. Draszanowska (2019). "The effect of natural and synthetic antioxidants and packaging type on the quality of cooked poultry products during frozen storage." Food Science and Technology International 25(5): 429-439.
- Karrar, E., I. A. M. Ahmed, W. Wei, F. Sarpong, C. Proestos, R. Amarowicz, E. Oz, A. F. E. Sheikha, A. Y. Allam and F. Oz (2022). "Characterization of volatile flavor compounds in supercritical fluid separated and identified in gurum (*Citrulluslanatus var. colocynthoide*) seed oil using HSME and GC–MS." Molecules 27(12): 3905.
- Kazmi, S., M. Habib, K. Bashir, S. Jan, S. Kumar and K. Jan (2023). "8 Natural Anthocyanins from Subtropical Fruits for Cardiac Disease Prevention." Anthocyanins in Subtropical Fruits: Chemical Properties, Processing, and Health Benefits: 11.
- Kim, H. J. and H.-D. Paik (2012). "Functionality and application of dietary fiber in meat products." Food Science of Animal Resources 32(6): 695-705.
- Kim, S.-M., T.-K. Kim, M.-C. Kang, J. Y. Cha, H. I. Yong and Y.-S. Choi (2022). "Effects of Loquat (Eriobotrya japonica Lindl.) Leaf Extract with or without Ascorbic Acid on the Quality Characteristics of Semi-Dried Restructured Jerky during Storage." Food Science of Animal Resources 42(4): 566.
- Koba, K., A. Matsuoka, K. Osada and Y.-S. Huang (2007). "Effect of loquat (Eriobotrya japonica) extracts on LDL oxidation." Food Chemistry 104(1): 308-316.
- Lovell, T. (1989). Nutrition and feeding of fish, Springer.
- Maher, K., B. A. Yassine and B. Sofiane (2015). "Antiinflammatory and antioxidant properties of Eriobotrya japonica leaves extracts." African health sciences 15(2): 613-620.
- Mancini, S., G. Preziuso and G. Paci (2016). "Effect of turmeric powder (*Curcuma longa* L.) and ascorbic acid on antioxidant capacity and oxidative status in rabbit burgers after cooking." World Rabbit Science 24(2): 121-127.
- Marangoni, F., G. Corsello, C. Cricelli, N. Ferrara, A. Ghiselli, L. Lucchin and A. Poli (2015). "Role of poultry meat in a balanced diet aimed at maintaining health and wellbeing: an Italian consensus document." Food & nutrition research 59(1): 27606.
- Mariamenatu, A. H. and E. M. Abdu (2021). "Overconsumption of omega-6 polyunsaturated fatty acids (pufas) versus deficiency of omega-3 pufas in modern-day diets: the disturbing factor for their "balanced antagonistic metabolic functions" in the human body." Journal of lipids 2021.
- Marmion, M., M. Ferone, P. Whyte and A. Scannell (2021)."The changing microbiome of poultry meat; from farm to fridge." Food Microbiology 99: 103823.

- Martínez-Valverde, I., M. J. Periago, G. Provan and A. Chesson (2002). "Phenolic compounds, lycopene and antioxidant activity in commercial varieties of tomato (Lycopersicum esculentum)." Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture 82(3): 323-330.
- Martinez Beltran, E. R. (2003). Decrease of HT chlorogenic acid content in sunflower meal using an enzyme preparation secreted by the white-rot fungus Trametes versicolor, University of Ottawa (Canada).
- Miller, D. D. and R. M. Welch (2013). "Food system strategies for preventing micronutrient malnutrition." Food policy 42: 115-128.
- Mlyuka, E., S. Zhang, Z. Zheng and J. Chen (2016). "Subcritical water extraction of bioactive compounds from dry loquat (Eriobotrya japonica) leaves and characterization of triterpenes in the extracts." African Journal of Biotechnology 15(22): 1041-1049.
- Mwamatope, B., I. Chikowe, D. T. Tembo, J. F. Kamanula, F. F. Masumbu and F. D. Kumwenda (2023). "Phytochemical Composition and Antioxidant Activity of Edible Wild Fruits from Malawi." BioMed Research International 2023.
- Nanjo, F., K. Goto, R. Seto, M. Suzuki, M. Sakai and Y. Hara (1996). "Scavenging effects of tea catechins and their derivatives on 1, 1-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl radical." Free Radical Biology and Medicine 21(6): 895-902.
- Negrão, I. D. A., F. J. Mendonça, A. C. L. Pavanello and A. L. Soares (2023). "Preparation, characterization, and evaluation of antioxidant activity of turmeric flour in chicken patties." Food Science and Technology 43.
- Okatan, V., B. Kaki, M. A. Gündeşli, S. Usanmaz, A. Najda, S. Ercişli, K. Mertoğlu, A. A. Mariod and H. S. Hajizadeh (2022). "Morphological and Biochemical Characteristics of Wild Loquat (*Eriobotrya japonica* Lindl.) Genotypes in Turkey." Agriculturae Conspectus Scientificus 87(3): 201-211.
- Pateiro, M., R. Domínguez, P. E. S. Munekata, F. J. Barba and J. M. Lorenzo (2019). Lipids and fatty acids. Innovative thermal and non-thermal processing, bioaccessibility and bioavailability of nutrients and bioactive compounds, Elsevier: 107-137.
- Pellegrini, N., M. Serafini, B. Colombi, D. Del Rio, S. Salvatore, M. Bianchi and F. Brighenti (2003). "Total antioxidant capacity of plant foods, beverages and oils consumed in Italy assessed by three different in vitro assays." The Journal of nutrition 133(9): 2812-2819.
- Perea-Moreno, M.-A., F. Manzano-Agugliaro, Q. Hernandez-Escobedo and A.-J. Perea-Moreno (2020). "Sustainable thermal energy generation at universities by using loquat seeds as biofuel." Sustainability 12(5): 2093.
- Raghavi, L. M., J. A. Moses and C. Anand haramakrishnan (2018). "Refractance window drying of foods: A review." Journal of food engineering 222: 267-275.
- Rawat, S. (2015). "Food Spoilage: Microorganisms and their prevention." Asian journal of plant science and Research 5(4): 47-56.
- Ren, Q.-S., K. Fang, X.-T. Yang and J.-W. Han (2022). "Ensuring the quality of meat in cold chain logistics: A comprehensive review." Trends in Food Science & Technology 119: 133-151.

- Rykowska, I., J. Ziemblińska and I. Nowak (2018). "Modern approaches in dispersive liquid-liquid microextraction (DLLME) based on ionic liquids: A review." Journal of molecular liquids 259: 319-339.
- Sagar, N. A., S. Pareek, S. Sharma, E. M. Yahia and M. G. Lobo (2018). "Fruit and vegetable waste: Bioactive compounds, their extraction, and possible utilization." Comprehensive reviews in food science and food safety 17(3): 512-531.
- Sakanaka, S., Y. Tachibana and Y. Okada (2005). "Preparation and antioxidant properties of extracts of Japanese persimmon leaf tea (kakinoha-cha)." Food chemistry 89(4): 569-575.
- Shabaani, M., S. Rahaiee, M. Zare and S. M. Jafari (2020). "Green synthesis of ZnO nanoparticles using loquat seed extract; Biological functions and photocatalytic degradation properties." Lwt 134: 110133.
- Sheikha, A. F. E., Allam, A. Y., E. Oz, M. R. Khan, C. Proestos and F. Oz (2022). "Edible Xanthan/Propolis Coating and Its Effect on Physicochemical, Microbial, and Sensory Quality Indices in Mackerel Tuna (*Euthynnus affinis*) Fillets during Chilled Storage." Gels 8(7): 405.
- Shivakumar, N., M. S. Ramesh Babu, S. Vasudeva and H. Akshay (2023). Bio-Based Materials Used in Food Packaging to Increase the Shelf Life of Food Products. Biobased Materials, Springer: 195-209.
- Silva, V. D., M. C. C. Macedo, A. N. Dos Santos, M. R. Silva, R. Augusti, I. C. A. Lacerda, J. O. F. Melo and C. A. Fante (2020). "Bioactive activities and chemical profile characterization using paper spray mass spectrometry of extracts of Eriobotrya japonica Lindl. leaves." Rapid Communications in Mass Spectrometry 34(19): e8883.
- Simona, R., C. Chiara, F. Brambilla, F. Faccenda, M. Antonini and T. Genciana (2023). "Potential of shrimp waste meal and insect exuviae as sustainable sources of chitin for fish feeds." Aquaculture: 739256.
- Singh, P. K., R. P. Singh, P. Singh and R. L. Singh (2019). Food hazards: Physical, chemical, and biological. Food safety and human health, Elsevier: 15-65.
- Singh, R. L. and S. Mondal (2019). Food safety and human health, Academic Press.
- Stoltzfus, R. J. and M. L. Dreyfuss (1998). Guidelines for the use of iron supplements to prevent and treat iron deficiency anemia, Ilsi Press Washington, DC.
- Sultana, K., K. Jayathilakan and V. Sajeevkumar (2022). Chemistry of Animal Tissues. Advances in Food Chemistry, Springer: 385-437.
- Tamime, A. Y., M. Hickey and D. D. Muir (2014). "Strained fermented milks–A review of existing legislative provisions, survey of nutritional labelling of commercial products in selected markets and terminology of products in some selected countries." International Journal of Dairy Technology 67(3): 305-333.
- Taskin, M. and S. Erdal (2011). "Utilization of waste loquat (Eriobotrya japonica Lindl.) kernel extract for a new cheap substrate for fungal fermentations." Rom Biotechnol Lett 16: 5872-5880.

- Teixeira, E. C., A. R. de Carvalho Junior and M. G. de Carvalho (2022). "Rapid characterization of secondary metabolites in Caryocar brasiliense leaf extract and antiradical activity." Emirates Journal of Food and Agriculture.
- Tomaszewska, M., B. Bilska and D. Kołożyn-Krajewska (2022). "The influence of selected food safety practices of consumers on food waste due to its spoilage." International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health 19(13): 8144.
- Tontisirin, K., G. Nantel and L. Bhattacharjee (2002). "Foodbased strategies to meet the challenges of micronutrient malnutrition in the developing world." Proceedings of the Nutrition Society 61(2): 243-250.
- Tyuftin, A. A. and J. P. Kerry (2023). The storage and preservation of meat: Storage and packaging. Lawrie's Meat Science, Elsevier: 315-362.
- Vlaicu, P. A., A. E. Untea, R. P. Turcu, M. Saracila, T. D. Panaite and G. M. Cornescu (2022). "Nutritional Composition and Bioactive Compounds of Basil, Thyme and Sage Plant Additives and Their Functionality on Broiler Thigh Meat Quality." Foods 11(8): 1105.

- Wang, D., Q. Chen, Q. Guo, Y. Xia, D. Jing and G. Liang (2023). "Metabolomic analyses reveal potential mechanisms induced by melatonin application for tolerance of water deficit in loquat (Eriobotrya japonica Lindl.)." Scientia Horticulturae 308: 111569.
- Williams, P. (2007). "Nutritional composition of red meat." Nutrition & Dietetics 64: S113-S119.
- Zhang, Y., Q. Zhou, L. J. Rather and Q. Li (2021). "Agricultural waste of Eriobotrya japonica L.(Loquat) seeds and flora leaves as source of natural dye and biomordant for coloration and bio-functional finishing of wool textile." Industrial Crops and Products 169: 113633.
- Zhou, C., C. Sun, K. Chen and X. Li (2011). "Flavonoids, phenolics, and antioxidant capacity in the flower of Eriobotrya japonica Lindl." International journal of molecular sciences 12(5): 2935-2945.

# تأثير إضافة مستخلص بذور البشملة على صفات جودة ناجتس لحم الماعز أثناء التخزين المبرد

# أيمن يونس علام

قسم علوم وتكنولوجيا الأغذية – كلية الزراعة – جامعة المنوفية - شبين الكوم - جمهورية مصر العربيه

### الملخص

تهدف الدر اسة إلى تقييم تأثير إضافة مسحوق بذور البشملة (Eriobotrya japonica) على خواص جودة قطع اللحم (ناجتس الماعز). حيث أوضحت النتائج أن مطحون بذور البشملة يحتوي على نسبة عالية من البروتين الخام والرماد والدهن. علاوة على ذلك ، إحتوائة على نسبة عالية من العناتصر المعذية مثل من الفوسفور والبوتاسيوم والمغلسيوم والكالسيوم والصوديوم 100 مر من العناتصر المعذية مثل من الفوسفور والبوتاسيوم والمغلسيوم والكالسيوم والصوديوم 100 و على ذلك ، إحتوائة على نسبة عالية من العناتصر المعذية مثل من الفوسفور والبوتاسيوم والمغلسيوم والكالسيوم والصوديوم 100 و 10.00 و 20.10 و 20.00 محم / 100 جر ام على النيات على النتائج السابقة وما ترتب علية من التدعيم بمطحون بذور البشملة فقد قيمت هذه الدر اسة استخدام مسحوق بذور البشملة كمصاد طبيعي للأكسدة في قطع لحم الماعز من خلال استبدال بدقيق القمح على 3 مستويات مختلفة (3.3 % 6.6 % و 0.1%). وأوضحت النتائج المابقة وما ترتب علية من التدعيم بمطحون بذور البشملة فقد قيمت هذه الدر اسة استخدام مسحوق بذور البشملة كمصاد طبيعي للأكسدة في قطع لحم الماعز من خلال استبدال بدقيق القمح على 3 مستويات مختلفة (3.3 % 6.6 % و 0.1%). وأوضحت النتائج المابقة من حلي المابقي و تلمي اللمور البشملة فقد قيمت هذه الدر اسة المتحدام مسحوق بذور البشملة كمصاد البيوتين الخام و الدون مقار نه التعالي و بناء على التعالي على 3 مستويات مختلفة (3.3 % 6.6 % و 0.0 %). وأوضحت النتائج المتحصل عليها زيادة محتوى عينات الناجتس من البروتين الخام والرماد والدهن مقار نه بالعينة القياسية. حيث أنت إضافة مطحون بنور البشملة إلى تقليل احمرا و واسمرار قطع المور و المنه الى والتحبير. وأطهرت النتائج التابق و معد مالي المور البنملة المعنون النورة البنملة المور البنماة المعنون النوت مين التعار والرماد والدهن مقار نور البشملة (كمادة طبيعيه) في قطع لحم المخزن. حيث تعتبر مصدرا جيداللمركبت الفيرية منور المون المعا لمصد وأطهرت التائي والمي منور البنما المعر المور البنملة له تأثير وجابي على مؤشرات المورد الفيزية والكم يليون في والمو وأظهرت النام والرماد والده مقار نبور البشملة (كماني والمي مودن و عر والمرا و قطع الحم وزياد المور و هالمون في و وأطهرون التنام والرماد للأكسدة لمطحون بنور البشملة له تألير ويجابي على مؤشرات الهودة الفيزيلية والكمي ميمات ولوط في مو و ا

الكلمات الدالة: بذور البشملة ، مضادات الأكسدة ، ناجتس لحم الماعز ، مؤشر ات الجودة ، فترة التخزين.